

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| INTRODUCTION | 7 |
| 1. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND | 10 |
| 1.1. Mass media communication versus linguistics with interdisciplinary overlap | 10 |
| 1.1.1. Media system models..... | 11 |
| 1.1.2. Mass and mass media..... | 13 |
| 1.1.3. Electronization, social networks, alternative media... | 15 |
| 1.2. Commentary as a genre | 17 |
| 1.2.1. Blog versus commentary | 21 |
| 1.3. Phraseology and its position in the structures of Slovak and Anglo-Saxon linguistics..... | 24 |
| 1.3.1. Current developments of research in phraseology ... | 30 |
| 2. METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES | 35 |
| 2.1. Discourse and discourse analysis: terminological aspects.. | 36 |
| 2.2 Critical discourse analysis: definition, development and methodological instruments..... | 41 |
| 2.3. Fairclough´s text analysis: special methodological instrument of critical discourse Analysis..... | 45 |
| 2.4. Research object and objective, research material selection, hypotheses and methodological schemes | 49 |
| 3. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION | 52 |
| 3.1. Critical discourse analysis of selected commentaries with focus on phrasemes and their Function | 52 |
| 3.1.1. Critical discourse analysis of the commentaries in Hospodárske noviny | 52 |
| 3.1.2. Critical discourse analysis of the commentaries in Financial Times | 66 |
| 3.1.3. Critical discourse analysis of the commentaries in Trend..... | 83 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 3.1.4. Critical discourse analysis of the commentaries in The Economist..... | 98 |
| 3.2. Comparison of differences between Slovak and English business periodicals in the relevant parameters of Fairclough’s text analysis..... | 116 |
| 3.3. Quantitative analysis of phrasemes occurrence in selected commentaries..... | 122 |
| 3.4. Evaluation of the qualitative and quantitative analyses in terms of research goal and hypotheses verification | 124 |
| 4. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES..... | 127 |
| SUMMARY (in English) | 134 |
| REFERENCES | 138 |